

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE



STATISTICAL TRANSPARENCY REPORT
Regarding Use of National Security Authorities
~ Calendar Year 2017 ~

LEADING INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION

Office of Civil Liberties, Privacy, and Transparency
April 2018

STATISTICAL TRANSPARENCY REPORT

Regarding Use of National Security Authorities

~ Calendar Year 2017 ~

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
A. Background.	3
B. Areas Covered in this Report.	4
C. Context and Clarity.	5
D. Key Terms.....	5
FISA Probable Cause Authorities	7
A. FISA Titles I and III	7
B. FISA Title VII, Sections 703 and 704.....	7
C. Statistics	8
FISA Section 702.....	10
A. Section 702.....	10
B. Statistics—Orders and Targets	12
C. Statistics—U.S. Person Queries	14
D. Section 702 and FBI Investigations.	19
NSA Dissemination of U.S. Person Information under FISA Section 702	20
A. Section 702.....	20
B. Statistics	22
FISA Criminal Use and Notice Provisions	25
A. FISA Sections 106 and 305	25
B. Statistics	25
FISA Title IV – Use of Pen Register and Trap and Trace (PR/TT) Devices	27
A. FISA Pen Register/Trap and Trace Authority.	27
B. Statistics	27
FISA Title V – BUSINESS RECORDS	30
A. Business Records FISA.....	30
B. Statistics – “Traditional” Business Records Statistics Orders, Targets & Identifiers	31
C. Statistics – Call Detail Record (CDR) Orders, Targets & Identifiers	32
D. Statistics – Call Detail Records Queries	35
NATIONAL SECURITY LETTERS (NSLs).....	36
A. National Security Letters.....	36
B. Statistics – National Security Letters and Requests of Information	36
APPENDIX A.....	39

Introduction

Today, consistent with the USA FREEDOM Act and the FISA Amendments Reauthorization Act of 2017 (the reauthorized FAA) requirements to release certain statistics (codified in 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)) and the Intelligence Community's (IC) [Principles of Intelligence Transparency](#), we are releasing our **fifth** annual *Statistical Transparency Report Regarding Use of National Security Authorities* presenting statistics on how often the government uses certain national security authorities. Providing these statistics allows for an additional way to track the use of Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) authorities and gives further context to the IC's rigorous and multi-layered oversight framework that safeguards the privacy of United States person information acquired pursuant to FISA. The report goes beyond its statutory duty of providing statistics and further provides the public with detailed explanation as to how the IC uses these national security authorities.

Additional public information on national security authorities is available at the Office of the Director of National Intelligence's (ODNI) website, www.dni.gov, and ODNI's public tumblr site, [IC on the Record](#). Furthermore, since the release of the previous report, ODNI has created the new website, www.intelligence.gov, that contains additional public information on the IC's activities.

A. Background.

In June [2014](#), the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) began releasing statistics relating to the use of critical national security authorities, including the FISA, in an annual report called the *Statistical Transparency Report Regarding Use of National Security Authorities* (hereafter the *Annual Statistical Transparency Report*). Subsequent *Annual Statistical Transparency Reports* were released in [2015](#), [2016](#), and [2017](#).

On June 2, 2015, the USA FREEDOM Act was enacted, codifying a requirement to publicly report many of the statistics already reported in the *Annual Statistical Transparency Report*. The Act also expanded the scope of the information included in the reports by requiring the DNI to report information concerning United States person (U.S. person or USP) search terms and queries of certain FISA-acquired information, as well as specific statistics concerning call detail records. *See* 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b). On January 19, 2018, the reauthorized FAA was signed. *See* 50 U.S.C. § 1881a. The reauthorized FAA (also referred to as the Section 702 Reauthorization Act of 2017) codified additional statistics that must be publicly released, including many statistics that the government previously reported pursuant to its commitment to transparency.

B. Areas Covered in this Report.

This report provides statistics in the following areas (the terms used below are defined and explained later in this report):

- **FISA Probable Cause Authorities.** The number of orders—and the number of targets under those orders—for the use of FISA authorities that require probable cause determinations by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC), under Titles I and III, and Section 703 and 704, of FISA.
- **FISA Section 702.**
 - The number of orders—and the number of targets under those orders—issued pursuant to Section 702 of FISA.
 - The number of U.S. person queries of Section 702-acquired content and metadata.
 - The number of instances in which the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) personnel received and reviewed Section 702-acquired information that the FBI identified as concerning a U.S. person in response to a query that was designed to return evidence of a crime unrelated to foreign intelligence.
 - The number of instances in which the FBI opened, under the Criminal Investigative Division, an investigation of a U.S. person (who is not considered a threat to national security) based wholly or in part on Section 702-acquired information.
 - The number of National Security Agency (NSA)-disseminated Section 702 reports containing U.S. person identities (various statistics relating to reports where the U.S. person identity was openly named or originally masked and subsequently unmasked).
- **Use in Criminal Proceedings.** The number of criminal proceedings in which the United States or a State or political subdivision provided notice under FISA of the government’s intent to enter into evidence or otherwise use or disclose any information derived from electronic surveillance, physical search, or Section 702 acquisition.
- **Pen Register and Trap and Trace Devices.** The number of orders—and the number of targets under those orders—for the use of FISA’s pen register/trap and trace devices, and the number of unique identifiers used to communicate information collected pursuant to those orders.
- **Business Records.** The number of orders—and the number of targets under those orders—issued pursuant to FISA’s business records authority, and the number of unique identifiers used to communicate information collected pursuant to those orders. In

addition, the number of orders—and the number of targets under those orders—issued pursuant to FISA’s business record authority for the production of call detail records, and the number of call detail records received from providers and stored in NSA repositories.

- **National Security Letters.** The number of national security letters issued, and the number of requests for information within those national security letters.

C. Context and Clarity.

[Consistent with the IC’s Principles of Intelligence Transparency](#), this report seeks to enhance public understanding by including explanations and charts for context and clarity. For example, the report provides charts that place the statistics in this report in context with the statistics in prior reports. While these statistics provide an important point of reference for understanding the use of these authorities, it is important to keep in mind the statistics’ limitations. The statistics fluctuate from year to year for a variety of reasons (e.g., operational priorities, world events, technical capabilities), some of which cannot be explored in an unclassified setting. Moreover, there may be no relationship between a decrease in the use of one authority and an increase in another. Nonetheless, we believe this report provides helpful information about how the IC uses these vital national security authorities.

D. Key Terms.

Certain terms used throughout this report are described below. Other terms are described in the sections in which they are most directly relevant.

- **U.S. Person.** As defined by Title I of FISA, a U.S. person is “a citizen of the United States , an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence (as defined in section 101(a)(20) of the Immigration and Nationality Act), an unincorporated association a substantial number of members of which are citizens of the United States or aliens lawfully admitted for permanent residence, or a corporation which is incorporated in the United States, but does not include a corporation or an association which is a foreign power, as defined in [50 U.S.C. § 1801(a)(1), (2), or (3)].” 50 U.S.C. § 1801(i). Section 602 of the USA FREEDOM Act, however, uses a narrower definition. Since the broader Title I definition governs how U.S. person queries are conducted pursuant to the relevant minimization procedures, it will be used throughout this report.

- **Target.** Within the IC, the term “target” has multiple meanings. With respect to the statistics provided in this report, the term “target” is defined as the individual person, group, entity composed of multiple individuals, or foreign power that uses the selector such as a telephone number or email address.
- **Orders.** There are different types of orders that the FISC may issue in connection with FISA cases, for example: orders granting or modifying the government’s authority to conduct foreign intelligence collection; orders directing electronic communication service providers to provide any technical assistance necessary to implement the authorized foreign intelligence collection; and supplemental orders and briefing orders requiring the government to take a particular action or provide the court with specific information. The FISC may amend an order one or more times after it has been issued. For example, an order may be amended to add a newly discovered account used by the target. This report does not count such amendments separately. The FISC may renew some orders multiple times during the calendar year. Each authority permitted under FISA has specific time limits for the FISA authority to continue (e.g., a Section 704 order against a U.S. person target outside of the United States may last no longer than 90 days but FISA permits the order to be renewed, *see* 50 U.S.C. § 1881c(c)(4)). Each renewal requires a separate application submitted by the government to the FISC and a finding by the FISC that the application meets the requirements of FISA. Thus, unlike amendments, this report does count each such renewal as a separate order. These terms will be used consistently throughout this report.
- **“Estimated Number.”** Throughout this report, when numbers are *estimated*, the estimate comports with the statutory requirements to provide a “good faith estimate” of a particular number.
- **Dissemination.** In the most basic sense, dissemination refers to the sharing of minimized information. As it pertains to FISA (including Section 702), if an agency (in this instance NSA) lawfully collects information pursuant to FISA and wants to disseminate that information, the agency must first apply its minimization procedures to that information.

FISA Probable Cause Authorities

A. FISA Titles I and III

To conduct electronic surveillance or physical search under FISA Title I or FISA Title III, a probable cause court order is required regardless of U.S. person status.

Under FISA, Title I permits electronic surveillance and Title III permits physical search in the United States of foreign powers or agents of a foreign power for the purpose of collecting foreign intelligence information. See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1804 and 1823. Title I (electronic surveillance) and Title III (physical search)

are commonly referred to as “Traditional FISA.” Both require that the FISC make a probable cause finding, based upon a factual statement in the government’s application, that (i) the target is a foreign power or an agent of a foreign power, as defined by FISA and (ii) the facility being targeted for electronic surveillance is used by or about to be used, or the premises or property to be searched is or is about to be owned, used, possessed by, or is in transit to or from a foreign power or an agent of a foreign power. In addition to meeting the probable cause standard, the government’s application must meet the other requirements of FISA. See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1804(a) and 1823(a).

FISA Title I, Title III, and Title VII Section 703 and 704

→ *All of these authorities require individual court orders based on probable cause.*

→ *Titles I and III apply to FISA activities directed against persons within the United States.*

→ *Sections 703 and 704 apply to FISA activities directed against U.S. persons outside the United States.*

B. FISA Title VII, Sections 703 and 704

FISA Title VII Sections 703 and 704 similarly require a court order based on a finding of probable cause for the government to undertake FISA activities targeting U.S. persons located outside the United States. Section 703 applies when the government seeks to conduct electronic surveillance or to acquire stored electronic communications or stored electronic data, in a manner that otherwise requires an order pursuant to FISA, of a U.S. person who is reasonably believed to be located outside the United States. Section 704 applies when the government seeks to conduct collection overseas targeting a U.S. person reasonably believed to be located outside the United States under circumstances in which the U.S. person has a reasonable expectation of privacy and a warrant would be required if the acquisition were conducted in the United States. Both Sections 703 and 704 require that the FISC make a

probable cause finding, based upon a factual statement in the government’s application, that the target is a U.S. person reasonably believed to be (i) located outside the United States and (ii) a foreign power, agent of a foreign power, or officer or employee of a foreign power. Additionally, the government’s application must meet the other requirements of FISA. See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1881b(b) and 1881c(b).

C. Statistics

How targets are counted. If the IC received authorization to conduct electronic surveillance and/or physical search against the same target in four separate applications, the IC would count one target, not four. Alternatively, if the IC received authorization to conduct electronic surveillance and/or physical search against four targets in the same application, the IC would count four targets. Duplicate targets across authorities are not counted.

Figure 1a: Table of FISA “Probable Cause” Court Orders and Targets

<u>Titles I and III and Sections 703 and 704 of FISA</u>	CY2013	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	CY2017
Total number of orders	1,767	1,519	1,585	1,559	1,437
Estimated number of targets of such orders*	1,144	1,562	1,695	1,687	1,337

See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1873(b)(1) and 1873(b)(1)(A).

* Although providing this statistic was first required by the USA FREEDOM Act, the reauthorized FAA of 2017 enumerated this requirement at 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(1)(A).

Figure 1b: Chart of FISA “Probable Cause” Court Orders and Targets




Figure 2: Table of FISA “Probable Cause” Targets – U.S. Persons

<u>Titles I and III and Sections 703 and 704 -- Targets</u>	CY2016	CY2017
Estimated number of targets who are <i>non</i> -U.S. persons*	1,351	1,038
Estimated number of targets who are U.S. persons*	336	299
Estimated percentage of targets who are U.S. persons	19.9%	22.4%

See 50 U.S.C. §§1873(b)(1)(B) and 1873(b)(1)(C) for rows one and two, respectively.

* Previously the IC was not statutorily required to publicly provide these statistics but provided them consistent with transparency principles. The reauthorized FAA of 2017 codified this requirement at 50 U.S.C. §§ 1873(b)(1)(B) and 1873(b)(1)(C).

FISA Section 702

A. Section 702

Title VII of FISA includes Section 702, which permits the Attorney General and the DNI to jointly authorize the targeting of (i) non-U.S. persons (ii) reasonably believed to be located outside the United States (iii) to acquire foreign intelligence information. See 50 U.S.C. § 1881a. All three elements must be met.

Additionally, Section 702 requires that the Attorney General, in consultation with the DNI, adopt targeting procedures, minimization procedures, and querying procedures that they attest satisfy the statutory requirements and are consistent with the Fourth Amendment. Additional information on how the government uses Section 702 is posted on *IC on the Record*.

Section 702 Targets and “Tasking.” Under Section 702, the government “targets” a particular non-U.S. person, group, or entity reasonably believed to be located outside the United States and who possesses, or who is likely to communicate or receive, foreign intelligence information, by directing an acquisition at – i.e., “tasking” – selectors (e.g., telephone numbers and email addresses) that are assessed to be used by such non-U.S. person, group, or entity, pursuant to targeting procedures approved by the FISC. Before “tasking” a selector for collection under Section 702, the government must apply its targeting procedures to ensure that the IC appropriately tasks a selector used by a non-U.S. person who is reasonably believed to be located outside the United States and who will likely possess, communicate, or receive foreign intelligence information.

NSA and FBI task selectors pursuant to their respective Section 702 targeting procedures, which are discussed below. All agencies that receive unminimized (i.e., “raw”) Section 702 data – NSA, FBI, Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), and National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC) – handle the Section 702-acquired data in accordance with minimization procedures, which are explained below.

Title VII - FISA Amendments Act (FAA) Section 702

→ Commonly referred to as “Section 702.”

→ Requires individual targeting determinations that the target (1) is a non-U.S. person (2) who is reasonably believed to be located outside the United States and (3) who has or is expected to communicate or receive foreign intelligence information.

The FISC's role. Under Section 702, the FISC determines whether *certifications* provided jointly by the Attorney General and the DNI meet all the requirements of Section 702. If the FISC determines that the government's certifications its targeting, minimization, and, as described below, querying procedures meet the statutory requirements of Section 702 and are consistent with the Fourth Amendment, then the FISC issues an order and supporting statement approving the certifications. The [2016 FISC order and statement approving certifications](#) was publicly released in May 2017 and posted on *IC on the Record*.

Certifications. The certifications are jointly executed by the Attorney General and DNI and authorize the government to acquire foreign intelligence information under Section 702. Each annual certification application package must be submitted to the FISC for approval. The package includes the Attorney General and DNI's certifications, affidavits by certain heads of intelligence agencies, targeting procedures, minimization procedures, and, as described below, querying procedures. [Samples of certification application packages](#) have been publicly released on *IC on the Record*, most recently in [May 2017](#). The certifications identify categories of information to be collected, which must meet the statutory definition of foreign intelligence information, through the targeting of non-U.S. persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States. The certifications have included information concerning international terrorism and other topics, such as the acquisition of information concerning weapons of mass destruction.

Targeting procedures. The targeting procedures detail the steps that the government must take before tasking a selector, as well as verification steps after tasking, to ensure that the user of the tasked selector is being targeted appropriately – specifically, that the user is a non-U.S. person, located outside the United States, who is being tasked to acquire foreign intelligence information. The IC must make individual determinations that each tasked selector meets the requirements of the targeting procedures. Each agency's Section 702 targeting procedures are approved by the Attorney General and then reviewed, as part of the certification package, by the FISC, which reviews the sufficiency of each agency's targeting procedures including assessing the IC's compliance with the procedures. [NSA's targeting procedures \(signed in 2017\) for the 2016 certification package](#) have been publicly released *IC on the Record*.

Minimization procedures. The minimization procedures detail requirements the government must meet to use, retain, and disseminate Section 702 data, which include specific restrictions on how the IC handles non-publicly available U.S. person information acquired from Section 702 collection of non-U.S. person targets, consistent with the needs of the government to obtain, produce, and disseminate foreign intelligence information. Each agency's Section 702 minimization procedures are approved by the Attorney General and then reviewed, as part of the certification package, by the FISC, which reviews the sufficiency of each agency's

minimization procedures, including assessing the IC's compliance with past procedures. The [2016 certification minimization procedures](#) have been released on *IC on the Record*.

Querying procedures. With the reauthorized FAA of 2017, Congress amended Section 702 to require that querying procedures be adopted by the Attorney General, in consultation with the DNI. Section 702(f) requires that a record of each U.S. person query term be kept. Similar to the other procedures, the querying procedures are required to be reviewed by the FISC as part of the certification package for consistency with the statute and the Fourth Amendment. Congress added other requirements in 702(f), which pertain to the access of certain results of queries conducted by FBI; those requirements will be discussed later in this report.

To date, each agency's court-approved minimization procedures have provided the rules under which the agency may query their databases containing previously acquired Section 702 data (content and metadata) using a U.S. person query term. As described above, with the reauthorized FAA of 2017, Congress amended Section 702 to require that, going forward, querying procedures must be adopted by the Attorney General. Query terms may be date-bound, and may include alphanumeric strings, such as telephone numbers, email addresses, or terms, such as a name, that can be used individually or in combination with one another. Pursuant to court-approved procedures, an agency can only query Section 702 information if the query is reasonably likely to return foreign intelligence information or, in the case of the FBI, evidence of a crime. Additional information about U.S. person queries is posted on *IC on the Record*.

Compliance. The IC's adherence to the targeting and minimization procedures, including query requirements, is subject to [robust internal agency oversight and to rigorous external oversight by the Department of Justice \(DOJ\), ODNI, Congress, and the FISC](#). Every identified incidence of non-compliance is reported to the FISC (through individual notices or in reports) and to Congress in semiannual reports. DOJ and ODNI also submit semiannual reports to Congress that assess the IC's overall compliance efforts. [Past assessments](#) have been publicly released.

B. Statistics—Orders and Targets

Counting Section 702 orders. As explained above, the FISC may issue a single order to approve more than one Section 702 certification to acquire foreign intelligence information. Note that, in its own transparency report, which is required pursuant to 50 U.S.C. § 1873(a), the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AOUSC) counted each of the Section 702 certifications associated with the FISC's order. Because the number of the government's Section 702 certifications remains a classified fact, the government requested that the AOUSC redact the number of certifications from its transparency report prior to publicly releasing it.

[In 2016](#), the government submitted a certification application package to the FISC. Pursuant to 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(j)(2), [the FISC extended its review of the 2016 certification package](#). The FISC may extend its review of the certifications “as necessary for good cause in a manner consistent with national security.” See 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(j)(2) (note that with the reauthorized FAA of 2017, this section has been updated to § 1881a(k)(2)). Thus, because the FISC did not complete its review of the 2016 certifications during calendar year 2016, the FISC did not issue an order concerning those certifications in calendar year 2016. The 2015 order remained in effect during the extension period. On April 26, 2017, the [FISC issued an order authorizing the 2016 certifications](#).

Figure 3: Table of Section 702 Orders

<u>Section 702 of FISA</u>	CY2013	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	CY2017
Total number of orders issued	1	1	1	0	1

See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2).

Estimating Section 702 targets. The number of 702 “targets,” provided below, reflects an estimate of the number of non-U.S. persons who are the users of tasked selectors. This estimate is based on information readily available to the IC. Unless and until the IC has information that links multiple selectors to a single foreign intelligence target, each individual selector is counted as a separate target for purposes of this report. On the other hand, where the IC is aware that multiple selectors are used by the same target, the IC counts the user of those selectors as a single target. This counting methodology reduces the risk that the IC might inadvertently understate the number of discrete persons targeted pursuant to Section 702.

Figure 4: Table of Section 702 Targets (recall that only non-USPs are targeted)

<u>Section 702 of FISA</u>	CY2013	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	CY2017
Estimated number of targets of such orders*	89,138	92,707	94,368	106,469	129,080

See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(A).

* Previously the IC was not statutorily required to publicly provide this statistic, but provided it consistent with transparency principles. The reauthorized FAA of 2017 codified this requirement at 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(A).

C. Statistics—U.S. Person Queries

In July 2014, the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board (PCLOB or Board) issued a report on Section 702 entitled, “*Report on the Surveillance Program Operated Pursuant to Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act*” (PCLOB’s Section 702 Report), which reported U.S. person query statistics for calendar year 2013. See PCLOB’s Section 702 Report, at 57-58. The USA FREEDOM Act, enacted in 2015, required the public reporting of statistics regarding the number of U.S. person queries of Section 702. Specifically, the Act required the “number of search terms concerning a known United States person used to retrieve the unminimized contents [...]” – referred as *query terms of content* – and “the number of queries concerning a known United States person of unminimized noncontents information [...]” – referred as *queries of metadata*. See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(B) and (b)(2)(C), respectively. Thus, ODNI began reporting on these statistics in the *Annual Statistical Transparency Report* covering calendar year 2015.


Below are statistics for U.S. person queries of raw, unminimized Section 702-acquired data.¹ The U.S. person statistics are based on (a) approved U.S. person *query terms* used to query

¹ With the reauthorization of FAA in 2017, Congress codified new requirements regarding the access of results of certain queries conducted by the FBI. Specifically under Section 702(f)(2)(A), an order from the FISC is now required before the FBI can review the contents of a query using a U.S. person query term when the query was not designed to find and extract foreign intelligence information and was performed in connection with a predicated criminal investigation that does not relate to national security. Before the FISC may issue such an order based on a finding of probable cause, an FBI officer must apply in writing, to include the officer’s justification that the query results would provide evidence of criminal activity, and the application must be approved by the Attorney General.

Section 702 content and (b) U.S. person queries conducted of Section 702 noncontents (i.e., metadata). It is important to understand that these two very different numbers cannot be combined because they use *different counting methodologies* (approved query terms versus queries conducted) and *different data types* (content versus noncontents).

Counting approved U.S. person query terms used to query Section 702 content. The NSA counts the number of U.S. person identifiers it approved to query the content of unminimized Section 702-acquired information. For example, if the NSA used U.S. person identifier “johndoe@XYZprovider” to query the content of Section 702-acquired information, the NSA would count it as one regardless of how many times the NSA used “johndoe@XYZprovider” to query its 702-acquired information. The CIA started using this model in 2016 for counting query terms and those statistics were included in the *Annual Statistical Transparency Report* covering CY2016. When the NCTC began receiving raw Section 702 information, NCTC followed a similar approach of counting U.S. person query terms that were used to query Section 702 content.

Figure 5: Illustration of how the IC counts approved U.S. person query terms used to query Section 702 content



50 U.S.C. Section 1873(b)(2)(A) requires annual reporting of the number of times the FBI received an order pursuant to 702(f)(2)(A); this statistic will be provided in future transparency reports.


Figure 6a: Table of U.S. Person Query Terms Used to Query Section 702 Content

<u>Section 702 of FISA</u>	CY2015	CY2016	CY2017
Estimated number of search terms concerning a known U.S. person used to retrieve the unminimized contents of communications obtained under Section 702 (excluding search terms used to prevent the return of U.S. person information)*	4,672	5,288	7,512

See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(B).

* Consistent with 50 U.S.C. § 1873(d)(2)(A), this statistic does not include queries that are conducted by the FBI. However, the reauthorized FAA of 2017 codified a new reporting requirement for the FBI under 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(D), which is addressed later in this report.


Figure 6b: Chart of U.S. Person Query Terms Used to Query Section 702 Content



Counting queries using U.S. person identifiers of noncontents collected under Section 702.

This estimate represents the number of times a U.S. person identifier is used to query the noncontents (i.e., metadata) of unminimized Section 702-acquired information. For example, if the U.S. person identifier telephone number “111-111-2222” was used 15 times to query the noncontents of Section 702-acquired information, the number of queries counted would be 15.

Figure 7: Illustration of how the IC counts U.S. person queries of Section 702 noncontents



As with last year’s transparency report, one IC element, the CIA, remains currently unable to provide the number of queries using U.S. person identifiers of unminimized Section 702 noncontents information for CY2017. Under 50 U.S.C. § 1873(d)(3)(A), if the DNI concludes that this good-faith estimate cannot be determined accurately because not all of the relevant elements of the IC are able to provide this good faith estimate, then the DNI is required to (i) certify that conclusion in writing to the relevant Congressional committees; (ii) report the good faith estimate for those relevant elements able to provide such good faith estimate; (iii) explain when it is reasonably anticipated that such an estimate will be able to be determined fully and accurately; and (iv) make such certification publicly available on an Internet web site. Because the CIA remained unable to provide such information for calendar year 2017, the DNI made a certification, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. § 1873(d)(3)(A) to the relevant Congressional committees. As required by statute, this certification is being made publicly available as an attached appendix to this current report (see Appendix A). As described in Appendix A, CIA will be able to provide a good faith estimate of these queries for calendar year 2018; such information will be included in the 2019 annual transparency report.

Figure 8: Table of U.S. Person Queries of Noncontents of Section 702

<u>Section 702 of FISA</u>	CY2013	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	CY2017
Estimated number of queries concerning a known U.S. person of unminimized noncontents information obtained under Section 702 (excluding queries containing information used to prevent the return of U.S. person information)*	9,500	17,500	23,800	30,355	16,924

See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(C).

* Consistent with 50 U.S.C. § 1873(d)(2)(A), this statistic does not include queries that are conducted by the FBI. However, the reauthorized FAA of 2017 codified a new reporting requirement for the FBI under 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(D), which was addressed earlier in this report.

FISC Order Requiring Certain Section 702 Query Reporting by FBI. On November 6, 2015, the FISC granted the government’s application for renewal of the 2015 certifications and, among other things, concluded that the FBI’s U.S. person querying provisions in its minimization procedures, “strike a reasonable balance between the privacy interests of the United States persons and persons in the United States, on the one hand, and the government’s national security interests, on the other.” [Memorandum Opinion and Order dated November 6, 2015](#), at 44 (released on *IC on the Record* on April 19, 2016). The FISC further stated that the FBI conducting queries, “designed to return evidence of crimes unrelated to foreign intelligence does not preclude the Court from concluding that taken together, the targeting and minimization procedures submitted with the 2015 Certifications are consistent with the requirements of the Fourth Amendment.” *Id.*

Nevertheless, the FISC ordered the government to report in writing, “each instance after December 4, 2015, in which FBI personnel *receive and review* Section 702-acquired information that the FBI identifies as concerning a United States person in response to a query that is not designed to find and extract foreign intelligence information.” (Emphasis added). *Id.* at 44 and 78. The FISC directed that the report contain details of the query terms, the basis for conducting the query, the manner in which the query will be or has been used, and other details. *Id.* at 78. In keeping with the IC’s *Principles of Transparency*, the DNI declassified the number of each such query reported to the FISC in calendar year 2016. This year, the DNI has again declassified the number reported for calendar year 2017, as noted in Figure 10.

Figure 9: Table Regarding Required Section 702 Query Reporting to the FISC

<u>Section 702 of FISA</u>	CY2016	CY2017
Per the FISC Memorandum Opinion and Order dated November 6, 2015: Each reported instance in which FBI personnel <i>received and reviewed</i> Section 702-acquired information that the FBI identified as concerning a U.S. person in response to a query that was designed to return evidence of a crime unrelated to foreign intelligence.	1	0

D. Section 702 and FBI Investigations.

The reauthorized FAA of 2017 now requires that the FBI report on the number of instances in which the FBI opened a criminal investigation of a U.S. person, who is not considered a threat to national security, based wholly or in part on Section 702-acquired information. See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(D). This statistic will provide transparency with regard to how often Section 702 collection is used for non-national security investigations conducted by the FBI. Figure 10 provides the required statistic.

Figure 10: Table Regarding Number of FBI Investigations Opened on USPs Based on Section 702 Acquisition

<u>Section 702 of FISA</u>	CY2017
The number of instances in which the FBI opened, under the Criminal Investigative Division or any successor division, an investigation of a U.S. person (who is not considered a threat to national security) based wholly or in part on an acquisition authorized under Section 702.	0

See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(2)(D).

NSA Dissemination of U.S. Person Information under FISA Section 702

A. Section 702

In July 2014, the *PCLOB's Section 702 Report* contained 10 recommendations. Recommendation 9 focused on “accountability and transparency,” noting that the government should implement measures, “to provide insight about the extent to which the NSA acquires and utilizes the communications involving U.S. persons and people located in the United States under the Section 702 program.” *PCLOB's Section 702 Report* at 145-146. Specifically, the PCLOB recommended that “the NSA should implement processes to annually count [...] (5) the number of instances in which the NSA disseminates non-public information about U.S. persons, specifically distinguishing disseminations that includes names, titles, or other identifiers, such as telephone numbers or e-mail addresses, potentially associated with individuals.” *Id.* at 146. This recommendation is commonly referred to as Recommendation 9(5). In response to the PCLOB's July 2014 Recommendation 9(5), NSA previously publicly provided (in the *Annual Statistical Transparency Report* for calendar year 2015) and continues to provide the following additional information regarding the dissemination of Section 702 intelligence reports that contain U.S. person information. Because the PCLOB issued its recommendation in 2014, these statistics were not included in *Annual Statistical Transparency Report* for calendar years 2013 or 2014.

NSA has been providing similar information to Congress since 2009, in classified form, per FISA reporting requirements. For example, FISA Section 702(m)(3) requires that NSA annually submit a report to applicable Congressional committees regarding certain numbers pertaining to the acquisition of Section 702-acquired information, including the number of “disseminated intelligence reports containing a reference to a United States person identity.” See 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(m)(A)(3)(i) (prior to the reauthorized FAA of 2017 under § 1881a(l)(3)(A)(i)). Section 702a(m)(A)(3) also requires that the number of “United States-person identities subsequently disseminated by [NSA] in response to request for identities that were not referred to by name or title in the original reporting.” See 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(m)(3)(A)(ii). This second requirement refers to NSA providing the number of approved unmasking requests, which is explained below. Additionally, NSA provides the number of NSA's disseminated intelligence reports containing a U.S. person reference to Congress as part of the Attorney General and the DNI's joint assessment of compliance. See 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(m)(1) (prior to the reauthorized FAA of 2017 under § 1881a(l)(1)).

Prior to the PCLOB issuing its *Section 702 Report*, NSA's Director of the Civil Liberties, Privacy, and Transparency Office published “*NSA's Implementation of Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act Section 702*,” on April 16, 2014, (hereinafter “[NSA DCLPO Report](#)”), in which it explained

NSA's dissemination processes. *NSA DCLPO Report* at 7-8. NSA "only generates classified intelligence reports when the information meets a specific intelligence requirement, regardless of whether the proposed report contains U.S. person information." *NSA DCLPO Report* at 7.

Section 702 only permits the targeting of non-U.S. persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States to acquire foreign intelligence information. Such targets, however, may communicate information to, from, or about U.S. persons. [NSA minimization procedures](#) (publicly released on May 11, 2017) permit the NSA to disseminate U.S. person information if the NSA masks the information that could identify the U.S. person. The minimization procedures also permit NSA to disseminate the U.S. person identity only if doing so meets one of the specified reasons listed in NSA's minimization procedures, including that the U.S. person consented to the dissemination, the U.S. person information was already publicly available, the U.S. person identity was necessary to understand foreign intelligence information, or the communication contained evidence of a crime and is being disseminated to law enforcement authorities. Even if one of these conditions applies, as a matter of policy, NSA may still mask the U.S. person information and will include no more than the minimum amount of U.S. person information necessary to understand the foreign intelligence or to describe the crime or threat. *Id.* In certain instances, however, NSA makes a determination prior to releasing its original classified report that the U.S. person's identity is appropriate to disseminate in the first instance using the same standards discussed above.

Masked U.S. Person Information. Agency minimization procedures generally provide for the substitution of a U.S. person identity with a generic phrase or term if the identity otherwise does not meet the dissemination criteria; this is informally referred to as "masking" the identity of the U.S. person. Information about a U.S. person is masked when the identifying information about the person is not included in a report. For example, instead of reporting that Section 702-acquired information revealed that non-U.S. person "Bad Guy" communicated with U.S. person "John Doe" (i.e., the actual name of the U.S. person), the report would mask "John Doe's" identity, and would state that "Bad Guy" communicated with "an identified U.S. person," "a named U.S. person," or "a U.S. person."

Unmasking U.S. Person Information. Recipients of NSA's classified reports, such as other federal agencies, may request that NSA provide the U.S. person identity that was masked in an intelligence report. The requested identity information is released only if the requesting recipient has a "need to know" the identity of the U.S. person and if the dissemination of the U.S. person's identity would be consistent with NSA's minimization procedures (e.g., the identity is necessary to understand foreign intelligence information or assess its importance), and additional approval has been provided by a designated NSA official.

As part of their regular oversight reviews, DOJ and ODNI review disseminations of information about U.S. persons that NSA obtained pursuant to Section 702 to ensure that the disseminations were performed in compliance with the minimization procedures.

Additional information describing how the IC protects U.S. person information obtained pursuant to FISA provisions is provided [in recent reports by the civil liberties and privacy officers for the ODNI](#) (including NCTC), NSA, FBI, and CIA. The reports collectively documented the rigorous and multi-layered framework that safeguards the privacy of U.S. person information in FISA disseminations. See [ODNI Report on Protecting U.S. Person Identities in Disseminations under FISA](#) and [annexes containing agency specific reports](#).

B. Statistics

Below are statistics and charts to further explain how NSA disseminates U.S. person information incidentally acquired from Section 702 in classified intelligence reports. NSA may:

- i. openly name (i.e., originally reveal) the U.S. person in the report,
- ii. initially mask (i.e., not reveal) the U.S. person identity in the report, or
- iii. in the instances where the U.S. person identity was initially masked, upon a specific request, later reveal and unmask the U.S. person identity but only to the requestor.

This year's report presents the dissemination numbers in a different format from the previous report to facilitate understanding and to provide consistency with NSA's classified FISA Section 702(m)(3) reports to Congress. This report separates the number of reports (in Figure 11) from the statistics relating to the U.S. person identities later disseminated (in Figure 12).

NSA applies its minimization procedures in preparing its classified intelligence reports, and then disseminates the reports to authorized recipients with a need to know the information in order to perform their official duties. Very few of NSA's intelligence reports from Section 702 collection contain references to U.S. person identities (whether masked or openly named).

The first row of Figure 11 provides "an accounting of the number of disseminated intelligence reports containing a reference to a United States-person identity." See 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(m)(3)(A)(i). Note that a single report could contain multiple U.S. person identities, masked and/or openly named. NSA's counting methodology is to include any disseminated intelligence report that contains a reference to one or more U.S. person identities, whether masked or openly named, even if the report includes information from other sources. NSA does not maintain records that allow it to readily determine, in the case of an intelligence report that includes information from several sources, from which source a reference to a U.S. person identity was derived. Accordingly, the references to U.S. person identities may have resulted

from Section 702 authorized collection or from other authorized signals intelligence activity conducted by NSA. This counting methodology was used in the previous report and is used in NSA’s FISA Section 702(m)(3) report. As noted above, a U.S. person is “a citizen of the United States, an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence (as defined in section 101(a)(20) of the Immigration and Nationality Act), an unincorporated association a substantial number of members of which are citizens of the United States or aliens lawfully admitted for permanent residence, or a corporation which is incorporated in the United States, but does not include a corporation or an association which is a foreign power, as defined in [50 U.S.C. § 1801(a)(1), (2), or (3)].” See 50 U.S.C. § 1801(i).

The second row of Figure 11 provides the *number of reports* containing U.S. person identities where the U.S. person identity was masked in the report. The third row provides the *number of reports* containing U.S. person identities where the U.S. person was openly named in the report.

Figure 11: Table of Section 702 Reports Containing USP information unmasked by NSA

<u>Section 702 Reports Containing U.S. person (USP) information disseminated by NSA</u>	CY2016	CY2017
Reports – Total number of NSA disseminated §702 reports containing USP identities <i>regardless of whether the identity was openly named or masked.</i>	3,914	4,065
Reports – Total number of NSA disseminated §702 reports containing USP identities <i>where the USP identity was masked.</i>	2,964	3,034
Reports – Total number of NSA disseminated §702 reports containing USP identities <i>where the USP was openly named.</i>	1,200	1,341

As explained above, rows 2 and 3 will not total row 1 because one report may contain both masked and openly named identities.

Figure 12 provides statistics relating to the numbers of U.S. person identities that were originally masked in those reports counted in Figure 11 but which NSA later provided to authorized requestors (i.e., unmasked) during CY2017. This statistic is the number required to be reported to Congress in NSA’s FISA Section 702(m)(3) report. In other words, Figure 12 provides “an accounting of the number of United States-person identities subsequently disseminated by [NSA] in response to requests for identities that were not referred to by name or title in the original reporting.” See 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(m)(3)(A)(ii). This number is different than numbers provided in either CY2015 or the CY2016 *Annual Statistical Transparency Report*. NSA has decided to declassify the total number of U.S. person identities unmasked in response to a request. The U.S. person identities include individuals as well as non-individual entities

whose identities NSA masks pursuant to law or policy. These non-individual entities, include, for example, U.S. IP addresses and artificial “persons” such as corporations.

Previously, the *Annual Statistical Transparency Report* focused on responding to the PCLOB’s report recommendation 9(5) by counting only those U.S. person identities where the proper name or title of an individual was unmasked; it did not count any other unmasking such as email addresses or telephone numbers or U.S. IP addresses or U.S. corporations. Rather than distinguishing between the different ways a U.S. person might be named in an intelligence report, NSA will provide the total number of U.S. person identities unmasked in response to a specific request from another agency whether it is a title of an individual, an identifier such as an email address, an IP address or a corporation. Thus, this current *Annual Statistical Transparency Report*, in Figure 12, reports that same metric that is reported in NSA’s FISA Section 702(m)(3). However, because NSA’s FISA Section 702(m)(3) reports have a time period of September through August, comparing the two reporting years is not an exact comparison.

Figure 12: Table of Section 702 USP Identities disseminated by NSA

<u>Section 702 – U.S. person (USP) identities unmasked by NSA</u>	12 month period Sep 2015-Aug 2016	CY2017
The number of U.S. person identities that NSA unmasked in response to a specific request from another agency.	9,217	9,529

Beginning with next year’s transparency report (due April 2019), ODNI will report statistics pertaining to how the IC disseminates U.S. person information regardless of the legal authority under which the information was collected (not only FISA Section 702). See [ICPG 107.1](#). Specifically, ODNI will report (1) the total number of requests to identify U.S. persons, whose identity was originally omitted, in disseminated intelligence reports, (2) the total number of those requests approved, and (3) the total number of those requests denied.

FISA Criminal Use and Notice Provisions

A. FISA Sections 106 and 305

FISA Section 106 requires advance authorization from the Attorney General before any information acquired through Title I electronic surveillance may be used in a criminal proceeding. This authorization from the Attorney General is defined to include authorization by the Acting Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, or, upon designation by the Attorney General, the Assistant Attorney General for National Security. Section 106 also requires that if a government entity intends to introduce into evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding, against an aggrieved person, information obtained or derived from electronic surveillance, it must notify the aggrieved person and the court. The aggrieved person is then entitled to seek suppression of the information. FISA Section 706 requires that any information acquired pursuant to Section 702 be treated as electronic surveillance under Title I, including for purposes of the use, notice, and suppression requirements under Section 106.

FISA Section 305 provides the same requirements for information acquired through Title III physical search (i.e., advance authorization, notice, and opportunity to suppress).

B. Statistics

The reauthorized FAA of 2017 codified that certain statistics concerning criminal proceedings must be provided to the public pertaining to Sections 106 and 305, including Section 702-acquired information. Specifically, figure 13 provides that, in 2017, the Government filed notice of intent to use FISA-acquired information, pursuant to Section 106 or 305, in seven (7) separate criminal proceedings.

FISA Sections 106 and 305 – Criminal Use and Notice Provisions –

→ *Commonly referred to as the “criminal use provision.”*

→ *Section 106 applies to information acquired from Title I electronic surveillance; Section 305 applies to information acquired from Title III physical search.*

→ *Attorney General advance authorization is required before such information may be used in a criminal proceeding; if such information is used or intended to be used against an aggrieved person, that person must be given notice of the information and have a chance to suppress the information.*

→ *The reauthorized FAA of 2017 codified that statistics must be provided to the public as it pertained to Section 106, Section 305, as well as Section 702 acquired information.*

Figure 13: Table Regarding Number of Criminal Proceedings in which the Government Provided Notice of Its Intent to Use Cert FISA Information

<u>FISA Sections 106 and 305</u>	CY2017
<p>The number of criminal proceedings in which the United States or a State or political subdivision thereof provided notice pursuant to Section 106 (including with respect to Section 702-acquired information) or Section 305 of the government’s intent to enter into evidence or otherwise use or disclose any information obtained or derived from electronic surveillance, physical search, or Section 702 acquisition.</p>	<p>7</p>

FISA Title IV – Use of Pen Register and Trap and Trace (PR/TT) Devices

A. FISA PR/TT Authority

Title IV of FISA authorizes the use of pen register and trap and trace (PR/TT) devices for foreign intelligence purposes. Title IV authorizes the government to use a PR/TT device to seek and capture dialing, routing, addressing or signaling (DRAS) information. The government may submit an application to the FISC for an order approving the use of a PR/TT device (i.e., PR/TT order) for (i) “any investigation to obtain foreign intelligence information not concerning a United States person or” (ii) “to protect against international terrorism or clandestine intelligence activities, provided that such investigation of a United States person is not conducted solely upon the basis of activities protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution.” 50 U.S.C. § 1842(a). If the FISC finds that the government’s application sufficiently meets the requirements of FISA, the FISC must issue an order for the installation and use of a PR/TT device.

FISA Title IV

→ Commonly referred to as the “PR/TT” provision.

→ Bulk collection is prohibited.

→ Requires individual FISC order to use PR/TT device to capture dialing, routing, addressing, or signaling (DRAS) information.

→ Government request to use a PR/TT device on U.S. person target must be based on an investigation to protect against terrorism or clandestine intelligence activities and that investigation must not be based solely on the basis of activities protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution.

B. Statistics

Counting orders. Similar to how orders were counted for Titles I and III and Sections 703 and 704, this report only counts the orders *granting authority to conduct intelligence collection* -- the order for the installation and use of a PR/TT device. Thus, renewal orders are counted as a separate order; modification orders and amendments are not counted.

Estimating the number of targets. The government’s methodology for counting PR/TT targets is similar to the methodology described above for counting targets of electronic surveillance and/or physical search. If the IC received authorization for the installation and use of a PR/TT device against the same target in four separate applications, the IC would count one target, not

four. Alternatively, if the IC received authorization for the installation and use of a PR/TT device against four targets in the same application, the IC would count four targets.

Estimating the number of unique identifiers. This statistic counts (1) the targeted identifiers and (2) the non-targeted identifiers (e.g., telephone numbers and e-mail addresses) that were in contact with the targeted identifiers. Specifically, the House Report on the USA FREEDOM Act states that "[t]he phrase 'unique identifiers used to communicate information collected pursuant to such orders' means the total number of, for example, email addresses or phone numbers that have been collected as a result of these particular types of FISA orders--not just the number of target email addresses or phone numbers." [H.R. Rept. 114-109 Part I, p. 26], with certain exceptions noted.

Figure 14: Table of PR/TT Orders, Targets, and Unique Identifiers Collected

<u>Title IV of FISA</u> <i>PR/TT FISA</i>	CY2013	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	CY2017
Total number of orders	131	135	90	60	33
Estimated number of targets of such orders	319	516	456	41	27
Estimated number of unique identifiers used to communicate information collected pursuant to such orders*	-	-	134,987 [#]	81,035 ^{#†}	56,064[#]

See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1873(b)(3), 1873(b)(3)(A), and 1873(b)(3)(B).

* Pursuant to §1873(d)(2)(B), this statistic does not apply to orders resulting in the acquisition of information by the FBI that does not include electronic mail addresses or telephone numbers.

This number represents information the government received from provider(s) electronically for the entire calendar year. The government does not have a process for capturing unique identifiers received by other means (such as hard-copy or portable media).

† Last year, the FBI mistakenly interchanged the number of unique identifiers for business records and PR/TT orders, reporting the number of business records unique identifiers as PR/TT unique identifiers and vice versa. This report corrects the error and accurately identifies the legal authority under which the FBI obtained the unique identifiers.

Figure 15: Table of FISA PR/TT Targets – U.S. Persons and Non-U.S. Persons*

<u>PR/TT Targets</u>	CY2016	CY2017
Estimated number of targets who are <i>non</i> -U.S. persons	23	16
Estimated number of targets who are U.S. persons	18	11
Estimated percentage of targets who are U.S. persons	43.9%	40.7%

See 50 U.S.C. §§1873(b)(3)(A)(i) and 1873(b)(3)(A)(ii) for rows one and two, respectively.

* Previously the IC was not statutorily required to publicly provide these statistics, but provided them consistent with transparency principles. The reauthorized FAA of 2017 codified this requirement at 50 U.S.C. §§ 1873(b)(3)(A)(i) and 1873(b)(3)(A)(ii).

FISA Title V – Business Records

A. Business Records FISA

Under FISA, Title V authorizes the government to submit an application for an order requiring the production of any tangible things for (i) “an investigation to obtain foreign intelligence information not concerning a United States person or” (ii) “to protect against international terrorism or clandestine intelligence activities, provided that such investigation of a United States person is not conducted solely upon the basis of activities protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution.” 50 U.S.C. § 1861. Title V is commonly referred to as the “*Business Records*” provision of FISA.

In June 2015, the USA FREEDOM Act was signed into law and, among other things, it amended Title V, including by prohibiting bulk collection. See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1861(b), 1861(k)(4). The DNI is required to report various statistics about two Title V provisions – traditional business records and call detail records (discussed further below). On November 28, 2015, in compliance with amendments enacted by the USA FREEDOM Act, the IC terminated collection of bulk telephony metadata under Title V of the FISA (the “Section 215 Program”). Solely due to legal obligations to preserve records in certain pending civil litigation, including *First Unitarian Church of Los Angeles, et al. v. National Security Agency, et al.*, No. C 13-03287-JSW (N.D. Cal.) and *Jewel, et al. v. National Security Agency, et al.*, No. C 08-04373-JSW (N.D. Cal.), the IC continues to preserve previously collected bulk telephony metadata. Under the terms of a FISC order dated November 24, 2015, the bulk telephony metadata cannot be used or accessed for any purpose other than compliance with preservation obligations. Once the government’s preservation obligations are lifted, the government is required to promptly destroy all bulk metadata produced by telecommunications providers under the Section 215 Program.

FISA Title V

→ Commonly referred to as “*Business Records*” provision.

→ Bulk collection is prohibited.

→ Call Detail Records (CDRs) may be obtained from a telephone company if the FISC issues an individual court order for target’s records.

→ Request for records in an investigation of a U.S. person must be based on an investigation to protect against terrorism or clandestine intelligence activities and provided that the investigation is not conducted solely upon activities protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution.

As noted in last year's *Annual Statistical Transparency Report*, on November 30, 2015, the IC implemented certain provisions of the USA FREEDOM Act, including the call detail records provision and the requirement to use a specific selection term. Accordingly, only one month's worth of data for calendar year 2015 was available with respect to those provisions. Any statistical information relating to a particular FISA authority for a particular month remains classified. Therefore, the Title V data specifically associated with December 2015 was only released in a classified annex provided to Congress as part of the report for CY2015. For the CY 2016 report, statistical information was collected for an entire year under the USA FREEDOM Act Title V provisions. As a result, those statistics were included in that report. For the CY 2017 report, statistical information was collected for an entire year under the USA FREEDOM Act Title V provisions. As a result, those statistics are included in this report.

Statistics related to *traditional business records* under Title V Section 501(b)(2)(B) are provided first pursuant to 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(5). Statistics related to *call detail records* under Title V Section 501(b)(2)(C) are provided second pursuant to 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(6).

B. Statistics – “Traditional” Business Records Statistics Orders, Targets & Identifiers

Business Record (BR) requests for tangible things include books, records, papers, documents, and other items pursuant to 50 U.S.C. §1861(b)(2)(B), also referred to as Section 501(b)(2)(B) . These are commonly referred to as “Traditional” Business Records.

Estimating the number of unique identifiers. This is an estimate of the number of (1) targeted identifiers (e.g., telephone numbers and email addresses) and (2) non-targeted identifiers that were in contact with the targeted identifiers. This metric represents unique identifiers received electronically from the provider(s). The government does not have a process for capturing unique identifiers received by other means (i.e., hard-copy or portable media).

Explaining how we count BR statistics. As an example of the government's methodology, assume that in 2017, the government submitted a BR request targeting “John Doe” with email addresses john.doe@serviceproviderX, john.doe@serviceproviderY, and john.doe@serviceproviderZ. The FISC found that the application met the requirements of Title V and issued orders granting the application and directing service providers X, Y, and Z to produce business records pursuant to Section 501(b)(2)(B). Provider X returned 10 non-targeted email addresses that were in contact with the target; provider Y returned 10 non-targeted email addresses that were in contact with the target; and provider Z returned 10 non-targeted email addresses that were in contact with the target. Based on this scenario, we would report the following statistics: A) one order by the FISC for the production of tangible things, B)

one target of said orders, and C) 33 unique identifiers, representing three targeted email addresses plus 30 non-targeted email addresses.

Figure 16: Table of “Traditional” Business Records Orders, Targets, and Unique Identifiers Collected

Business Records “BR” – Section 501(b)(2)(B)	CY2016	CY2017
Total number of orders issued pursuant to applications under Section 501(b)(2)(B)	84	77
Estimated number of targets of such orders	88	74
Estimated number of unique identifiers used to communicate information collected pursuant to such orders	125,354†	87,834

See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1873(b)(5), 1873(b)(5)(A), and 1873(b)(5)(B).

† Last year, the FBI mistakenly interchanged the number of unique identifiers for business records and PR/TT orders, reporting the number of business records unique identifiers as PR/TT unique identifiers and vice versa. This report corrects the error and accurately identifies the legal authority under which the FBI obtained the unique identifiers.

C. Statistics – Call Detail Record (CDR) Orders, Targets & Identifiers

Call Detail Records (CDRs) – commonly referred to as “call event metadata” – may be obtained from traditional telecommunications providers pursuant to 50 U.S.C. §1861(b)(2)(C). A CDR is defined as session identifying information (such as originating or terminating telephone number, an International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) number, or an International Mobile Station Equipment Identity (IMEI) number), a telephone calling card number, or the time or duration of a call. See 50 U.S.C. §1861(k)(3)(A). CDRs provided to the government do not include the content of any communication, the name, address, or financial information of a subscriber or customer, or cell site location or global positioning system information. See 50 U.S.C. §1861(k)(3)(B). CDRs are stored and queried by the service providers. See 50 U.S.C. §1861(c)(2).

Estimating the number of targets of CDR orders. A “target” is the person using the selector. For example, if a target uses four selectors that have been approved, the number counted for purposes of this report would be one target, not four. Alternatively, if two targets are using one selector that has been approved, the number counted would be two targets.


Figure 17: Table of CDR Orders and Targets

Call Detail Records “CDRs” – Section 501(b)(2)(C)	CY2016	CY2017
Total number of orders issued pursuant to applications under Section 501(b)(2)(C)	40	40
Estimated number of targets of such orders	42	40

See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1873(b)(6) and 1873(b)(6)(A).

The estimated number of Call Detail Records received from providers. This metric represents the number of *records received* from the provider(s) and stored in NSA repositories (records that fail at any of a variety of validation steps are not included in this number). CDRs covered by § 501(b)(2)(C) include call detail records created before, on, or after the date of the application relating to an authorized investigation. While the USA FREEDOM Act directs the government to provide a good faith estimate of “the number of unique identifiers used to communicate information collected pursuant to” orders issued in response to CDR applications (*see* 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(5)(B)), the statistic below does *not* reflect the number of unique identifiers contained within the call detail records received from the providers. As of the date of this report, the government does not have the technical ability to isolate the number of unique identifiers within records received from the providers. As explained in the [2016 NSA public report on the USA FREEDOM Act](#), the metric provided is over-inclusive because the government counts each record *separately even if the government receives the same record multiple times* (whether from one provider or multiple providers). Additionally, this metric includes duplicates of unique identifiers – i.e., because the government lacks the technical ability to isolate unique identifiers, the statistic counts the number of records even if unique identifiers are repeated. For example, if one unique identifier is associated with multiple calls to a second unique identifier, it will be counted multiple times. Similarly, if two different providers submit records showing the same two unique identifiers in contact, then those would also be counted. This statistic includes records that were received from the providers in CY2017 for all orders active for any portion of the year, which includes orders that the FISC approved in 2016. Furthermore, while the records are received from domestic communications service providers, the records received are for domestic and foreign numbers. More information on how NSA implements this authority can be found in the DCLPO report, in particular [see page 5 for a description and illustration of the USA FREEDOM Implementation Architecture](#).

Figure 18: Illustration of a hop scenario and counting



Target uses Phone A which is the FISC-approved selector in the FISC order. This would count as **1 order, 1 target, 7 unique identifiers** (phones A, B, C, D, E, F, G) and, assuming 500 calls between parties, **6000 CDRs** (*produced for both sides of a call event).

Assume an NSA intelligence analyst learns that phone number (**Phone A**) is being used by a suspected international terrorist (target). **Phone A** is the “specific selection term” or “selector” that will be submitted to the FISC (or the Attorney General in an emergency) for approval using the “reasonable articulable suspicion” (RAS) standard. Assume that one provider (provider X) submits a record showing **Phone A** called unique identifier **Phone B** – what is referred to as a “call event.” This is the “**first hop.**” In turn, assume that NSA submits the “first-hop” Phone B to the provider X, and finds that unique identifier was used to call another unique identifier **Phone D**. This is the “**second-hop.**” If the unique identifiers call one another multiple times, then multiple CDRs are produced and duplication occurs. Additionally, the government may receive multiple CDRs for a single call event. NSA may also submit the specific selection Phone A number to another provider (provider Y) who may have CDRs of the same call events.

Not all CDRs provided to the government will be domestic numbers. The targeted “specific selection term” could be a foreign number, could have called a foreign number or the “first-

hop” number could have called a foreign number; thus, these CDRs statistics contain both domestic and foreign number results.

Figure 19: Table of CDRs Received Arising from Such Targets

Call Detail Records “CDRs” – Section 501(b)(2)(C)	CY2016	CY2017
Estimated number of call detail records arising from such targets that NSA received from providers pursuant to Section 501(b)(2)(C) and stored in its repositories*	151,230,968	534,396,285

* While the statute directs the government to count the unique identifiers, the government is not technically able to isolate the number of unique identifiers; thus, this number includes duplicate records. Additionally, the number of records contains both domestic and foreign numbers.

D. Statistics – Call Detail Record Queries

The number of search terms associated with a U.S. person used to query the CDR data. Each unique query is counted only once. The same term queried 10 times counts as one query term. A single query with 20 terms counts as 20 query terms.

Figure 20: Table of CDRs -- U.S. person query terms

Call Detail Records “CDRs” – Section 501(b)(2)(C)	CY2016	CY2017
Estimated number of search terms that included information concerning a U.S. person that were used to query any database of call detail records obtained through the use of such orders*	22,360	31,196

See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(6)(C).

* Consistent with § 1873(d)(2)(A), this statistic does not include queries that are conducted by the FBI.

National Security Letters (NSLs)

A. National Security Letters

In addition to statistics relating to FISA authorities, we are reporting information on the government's use of National Security Letters (NSLs). The FBI is statutorily authorized to issue NSLs for specific records (as specified below) only if the information being sought is relevant to a national security investigation. NSLs may be issued for four commonly used types of records:

- 1) telephone subscriber information, toll records, and other electronic communication transactional records, see 18 U.S.C. § 2709;
- 2) consumer-identifying information possessed by consumer reporting agencies (names, addresses, places of employment, institutions at which a consumer has maintained an account), see 15 U.S.C. § 1681u;
- 3) full credit reports, see 15 U.S.C. § 1681v (only for counterterrorism, not for counterintelligence investigations); and
- 4) financial records, see 12 U.S.C. § 3414.

National Security Letters

→ Not authorized by FISA but by other statutes.

→ Bulk collection is prohibited, however, by the USA FREEDOM Act.

→ FBI may only use NSLs if the information sought is relevant to international counterterrorism or counterintelligence investigation.

B. Statistics – National Security Letters and Requests of Information

Counting NSLs. Today we are reporting (1) the total number of NSLs *issued* for all persons, and (2) the total number of requests for information (ROI) contained within those NSLs. When a single NSL contains multiple ROIs, each is considered a “request” and each request must be relevant to the same pending investigation. For example, if the government issued one NSL seeking subscriber information from one provider and that NSL identified three e-mail addresses for the provider to return records, this would count as one NSL issued and three ROIs.

- **The Department of Justice’s Report on NSLs.** In May 2018, the Department of Justice released its [Annual Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act Report](#) to Congress. That report, which is available online, provides the *number of requests* made for certain information concerning different U.S. persons pursuant to NSL authorities during calendar year 2017. The Department of Justice’s report provides the number of individuals subject to an NSL whereas the ODNI’s report provides the number of NSLs issued. Because one person may be subject to more than one NSL in an annual period, the number of NSLs issued and the number of persons subject to an NSL differs.

Why we report the number of NSL requests instead of the number of NSL targets. We are reporting the annual number of requests for multiple reasons. First, the FBI’s systems are configured to comply with Congressional reporting requirements, which do not require the FBI to track the number of individuals or organizations that are the subject of an NSL. Even if the FBI systems were configured differently, it would still be difficult to identify the number of specific individuals or organizations that are the subjects of NSLs. One reason for this is that the subscriber information returned to the FBI in response to an NSL may identify, for example, one subscriber for three accounts or it may identify different subscribers for each account. In some cases this occurs because the identification information provided by the subscriber to the provider may not be true. For example, a subscriber may use a fictitious name or alias when creating the account. Thus, in many instances, the FBI never identifies the actual subscriber of a facility. In other cases, this occurs because individual subscribers may identify themselves differently for each account (e.g., inclusion of middle name, middle initial, etc.) when creating an account.


We also note that the actual number of individuals or organizations that are the subject of an NSL is different than the number of NSL requests. The FBI often issues NSLs under different legal authorities, e.g., 12 U.S.C. § 3414(a)(5), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681u(a) and (b), 15 U.S.C. § 1681v, and 18 U.S.C. § 2709, for the same individual or organization. The FBI may also serve multiple NSLs for an individual for multiple facilities (e.g., multiple e-mail accounts, landline telephone numbers and cellular phone numbers). The number of requests, consequently, is significantly larger than the number of individuals or organizations that are the subjects of the NSLs.

Figure 21a: Table of NSLs Issued and Requests for Information

<u>National Security Letters (NSLs)</u>	CY2013	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	CY2017
Total number of NSLs issued	19,212	16,348	12,870	12,150	12,762
Number of Requests for Information (ROI)	38,832	33,024	48,642	24,801	41,579

See 50 U.S.C. § 1873(b)(6).

Figure 21b: Chart of NSLs Issued and Requests for Information



APPENDIX

UNCLASSIFIED

DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20511

MAY 04 2018

The Honorable Richard Burr
Chairman
Select Committee on Intelligence
United States Senate

The Honorable Chuck Grassley
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate

The Honorable Devin Nunes
Chairman
Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Robert W. Goodlatte
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary
U.S. House of Representatives

Dear Messrs. Chairmen:

Section 603(b)(2)(B) of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA), as amended by the *Uniting and Strengthening America by Fulfilling Rights and Ensuring Effective Discipline Over Monitoring Act of 2015*, (P.L. 114-23), 129 Stat. 268 (hereinafter USA FREEDOM Act), requires the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) to make publicly available for the preceding 12-month period a good faith estimate of the number of queries concerning a known United States person of unminimized non-content information relating to electronic communications or wire communications obtained through acquisitions authorized under Section 702 of FISA, excluding the number of queries containing information used to prevent the return of information concerning a United States person.

If the DNI concludes that this good faith estimate cannot be determined accurately because not all of the relevant elements of the Intelligence Community (IC) are able to provide this good faith estimate, then FISA requires him to (i) certify that conclusion in writing to the committees identified above; (ii) report the good faith estimate for those relevant elements able to provide such good faith estimate; (iii) explain when it is reasonably anticipated that such an estimate will be able to be determined fully and accurately; and (iv) make such certification publicly available on an Internet website.

I conclude that the good faith estimate required under section 603(b)(2)(B) of FISA cannot be determined accurately because not all of the relevant elements of the IC are able to provide this good faith estimate. Specifically, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) remained unable to provide such information for calendar year 2017. The enclosed report includes the good faith estimate for those relevant IC elements that were able to provide such good faith estimate. Based on the information provided to me by the CIA, I reasonably anticipate that such an estimate will be able to be determined fully and accurately by the end of calendar year 2018 so as to be included in the 2019 report.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

The Honorable Richard Burr
The Honorable Chuck Grassley
The Honorable Devin Nunes
The Honorable Robert W. Goodlatte

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact the Office of the Director of National Intelligence Office of Legislative Affairs at (703) 275-2474.

Sincerely,



Daniel R. Coats

Enclosure:
Statistical Transparency Report

cc: Executive Secretary, National Security Staff
Director, Central Intelligence Agency
Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence
Under Secretary for Intelligence and Analysis, Department of Homeland Security
Director, National Security Agency
Director, National Reconnaissance Office
Director, Defense Intelligence Agency
Director, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency
Assistant Secretary for Intelligence and Research, Department of State
Assistant Secretary for Intelligence and Analysis, Department of the Treasury
Executive Assistance Director, Intelligence Branch, Federal Bureau of Investigation
Chief of Intelligence, Senior Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration
Director, Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence, Department of Energy
Deputy Chief of Staff, G2, U.S. Army
Director of Intelligence, U.S. Marine Corps
Director of Naval Intelligence, N2 U.S. Navy
Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance, A2, U.S. Air Force
Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence and Criminal Investigations, U.S. Coast Guard
Assistant Attorney General for National Security, Department of Justice

UNCLASSIFIED